

## UNITED STATÈS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

08/930,235 02/23/98 EITRICH			
the first of the f		Α	BEIERSDORF45
Г	$\neg$		EXAMINER
IM11/0216 SPRUNG KRAMER SCHAEFFER & BRISCOE 660 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN NY 10591-5144		ART UNIT	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	08/930, 235	ETRICH ETAL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner LOVERING	Group Art Unit		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet bene	ath the correspondence address—		
Period for Response				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE		
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default and the period for response will, by</li> </ul>	response within the statutory m It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication .		
Status	. 4			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on DEC 2, L	98	<del></del>		
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .				
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>		tion as to the merits is closed in		
Disp sition of Claims				
X Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)i		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
$\mathbb{Z}$ Claim(s) $1-3$		is/are rejected.		
X Claim(s) 2 AND 3		is/are objected to.		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	·			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed onisrate objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	1 to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Inter</li> </ul>	e priority documents have	•		
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachm nt(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap r No	s) 🗆 Inter	view Summary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of R ferences Cited, PTO-892	□ Notic	e of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO-948	☐ Othe	r		
Office Action Summary				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Serial Number: 08/930,235 Page 2

Art Unit: 1721

1. The request filed on December 2, 1998 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/930,235 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schambil et al. DE 4,010,393, esp. Beispiele 1-2; Tabelle 1; and abstract.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Allard et al. 5,616,331, esp. Ex. 1; paragraph bridging col's. 2 and 3; col. 5, lines 47-65; and col. 6, lines 39-47.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is vague and indefinite as to scope in "further processing steps". The quoted expression is broad enough to read on breaking, concentrating, diluting, adding preservative(s), adding a gelling agent, etc., and the specification doesn't specify which further processing steps are contemplated by applicants.

Serial Number: 08/930,235

Art Unit: 1721

Page 3

7. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to for using "characterized in that" instead of , which

process comprises-- and in using passive instead of active verb forms.

8. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification

lacks: --BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS ---.

Appropriate correction is required.

9. Applicants are required to insert the following sentence between lines 4 and 5 on page 1 of

the specification: -- This application is a 371 of PCT/EP96/00968 filed March 7, 1996---

10. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the

presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Lovering at

telephone number (703) 308-0443.

LOVERING/jkl February 11, 1999 RICHARD D. LOVERING
PRIMARY EXAMINER